

Gareth Bryn Bowen


garethbowen.co.uk

thesextree.co.uk



Date: 19/4/2017 My Reference: 

Dear DVLA,

In response to your letter referenced as  to Dr in Charge of Claypath University Medical Group.

This letter is me querying under the Freedom of Information and Data Protection Act why you are paying £85 + Vat for a clinical examination of myself and how the hell do you justify each of the attached questions on the attached questionnaire?

Any information you have requested is historic and been already given in previous years and has also raised a few more issues regarding the NHS above and beyond the none consensual torture already done on those detained for political, religious and scientific belief.

Firstly, The examining GP Dr Stephen Whitfield stated that he didn't understand what the definition of the terms for the tick box he was ticking meant or what constituted that diagnosis, and the form and your covering letter is a little ambiguous as to who is responsible for a diagnosis when a doctor such as him is signing it.

For example after talking about the form, he eventually put a date for 2011 in for a diagnosis and yet there is no field for who made that diagnosis, and therefore could dangerously imply that the doctor who filled in the form made it or is supporting it.

Notwithstanding I think the fact that Doctors who tick boxes for diagnosis they don't understand are demonstrating grounds for complete disbarment from the medical profession and any doctor label, to my current observations it makes it look as though more doctors are signing up to a nonsensical diagnosis and supporting the torture that is taking place by multiple psychologists and other so called mental health practitioners across multiple hospitals ran under the umbrella of the NHS and elsewhere.

If the questionnaire was an attempt to work out which governmental torturers [psychologists] gave which diagnosis which differed between hospital wards when I was detained in 2011 and transferred that is not indicated in the form based on how it is currently written.

Given the fact I know torture is continually committed on those wards and Britain history of torture and human rights abuses committed elsewhere as well as how governments in other countries abused human rights such as in Nazi Germany with the 1933 sterilisation act, or the secret T 4 genocide program was committed or other medical experimentation done under project blue bird or MK Ultra or even the medical experimentation against the will of patients in the American contraceptive pill trials in the 1950's and elsewhere, what are you trying to achieve with this form?

Surely all you need is a single question asking do you have any reasons why a driving licence should be renewed and if so, what? Rather than how the form structured as is your questions are

superfluous and now psychologist or GP could answer most questions without risk of perjury to anyone respectable being made to look like a liar?

For example question 16 reads has your patient demonstrated persistent drug misuse. The correct answer is no I haven't but a psychologist or doctor wouldn't know that for sure unless they were observing me every second every moment for the entire 3 year period you defined, which assumes they never take your eyes off someone or sleep or get distracted or take a break at all, which I haven't ever witnessed anyone able to do.

If you had perhaps worded the question 'have you had any evidence of whatever' where whatever is whatever your querying, then it would be possible for someone to tick a box that covered all known scenarios and yet isn't evidence and proof something a court and jury decide?

I only raise this point as too many questionnaires government or otherwise are impossible to fill in for people who tell the truth, or want to live a life as truth sayers. granted their probably are no psychologists or doctors or people working in government or services who ever do, but there are some people like myself who if employed would like to be able to as far as filling in filling this type of form goes to live up to that truth ideal (At least in theory if not practice at least).

As it stands I myself wouldn't be unable to tick a yes or no box for someone else with phrasing as you have done, as I wouldn't have been around them for 24/7 watching them every second of the day for those time periods stated in the question, thus rendering your entire questionnaire and way of thinking absurd.

Therefore any doctor, psychologist or police or other worker who is ticking no or yes to questions they possibly can't know for sure, is obviously someone who needs to be removed from their job position for lack of integrity for an inability to tell the truth, the whole truth the whole time notwithstanding some may argue retraining or adjusting a form instead as a compromise.

Please think about all your questionnaires and application web forms and remove ambiguity which encourage people to increasingly perjure themselves as that is probably where all the human rights abuses and torture filtered in and got established in the Britain to begin with, a slippery slope of grooming people to lie as others try to use information against them perhaps.

Please stop trying to bribe, coerce and use duress to get people to lie, and get all governmental departments to stop asking stupid unnecessary questions which currently have people confusing assumption and fact as no one with integrity should be answering that type of thing at all.

I urge you to try to get back to establishing proper fundamental precepts such as the presumption of innocence *inter alia* as you probably should just renew in all cases unless you have evidence proven beyond all reasonable doubt as decided per say by a jury trial to indicate that someone is unfit to drive.

Thus any notion trusting people who are ok with lying which can be shown through their ability to tick those type type of questions on that attached questionnaire form clearly indicate they shouldn't be being consulted or listened to at all regarding someone's ability or fitness to drive, as ticking the box shows they can't be trusted as far as integrity goes in refereeing another for the reasons already

stated by me. Notwithstanding my ability to drive and many others was already clearly demonstrated in the first instance by the driving license test which myself and many others passed.

A licence renewal program of a year which appears to take longer to renew than the period the issue covered for does not seem to be a good use of public funds and I urge you to go back to licences that last for ever or until proven in a jury trial in a court which follows the proper precepts of justice which I don't think Britain ever does or did based on my knowledge that they are using torture as is.

Notwithstanding all of the above and the fact I think that type of questioning unnecessary for reasons already stated previously, I would have hoped that your form would actually clarify if doctors or psychologists believed historic diagnosis was correct when asking for medical opinion if this type of approach was to be humoured at all as lots of doctors signing ambiguous paper work trails seems to indicate more than one doctor is signing off and agreeing with the incompetencies of another when that might not be the case at all and yet this type of question and clarity is not covered in this form at all notwithstanding I don't see the relevance of you asking at all, as all you need to know is do you think they are ok to drive. Yes or no, and if you think no why.

The church got it down in weddings with the does any one have any reasons to object approach.

Perhaps references from people who fall into the same religious, political or scientific belief set would be better at acting reference than relying on those who perhaps are more inclined to be supporting those political governmental factions who abuse human rights like those working on the NHS wards as is as I don't see how your current set up works at all, in principle or reality.

Thus given your mental health propaganda system so doesn't work for me and probably increasingly not for many others who are starting to wake up to it, and have become alienated by all the abuses and people who have died at the hands of the British government whether through death or torture via injections against the will or other means at the hands of the NHS, or starvation and suicide by Ian Duncan Smith and the rest of the conservative party and others in office, assuming their is anyone left who believes or trusts the BBC governmental propaganda network at all, and given the fact torture is being used to bypass any notion of justice and fairness in the political system generally guarantees the demise of Britain, the NHS and all it was ever known or respected for due to the fact people like myself can no longer consciously condone, support or use those services any more thus rendering them obsolete and a waste.

while I humoured showing up for a driving licence renewal on this occasion, all meetings with people professing doctor or other qualified status in the NHS are purely political manoeuvring and serve no use to anyone at all.

Also given Dr Stephen Whitfield demonstrated an inability or even desire to look up the definition of a word or what constitutes that diagnosis on the web I think anyone relying on their or any other persons ability in the NHS is clearly insane and a risk to public and self.

Not one of any psychologists or doctors credentials is worth the paper printed on. Whitfield for reasons stated above, the NHS for the fact they just reinforce the breakup and unsuitability of public services by the people in full.

Assad in Syria and that civil war, Saddam Hussain and his downfall in Iraq, or Gadafi in Libya and else where tend to indicate what happens in countries where none consensual torture has been allowed to flourish at the peoples expense.

Psychologists are supposed to be in jobs to win people over and improve mental health which comes from improved quality and enjoyment of life, and given myself and other never did and never will enjoy being the recipients of none consensual torture and given they and others such as Teresa May have sowed the seeds of Britain and the systems destruction for example when she *ultra vires* commended they go against the militaries remit to defend life by arguing the suspension of the right to life and liberty as reported in the press the other year which goes against the very point of forming or maintain an army in any legitimate instance.

, or by the fact that Britain demonstrates hypocrisy in principles of law when leaders like Gordon Brown stated no country would be invaded for failure to pay it's debts and yet invaded and incarcerated such as myself, for my deceleration of independence from Britain but not independence from Europe which was done before Britain did.

It doesn't look rosy for Britain at all, pulling out of Europe and isolating the country and ignoring everyone else and reinforcing divides. That does not look good in future risk projections of world peace when looking at the entire history of humanity or human nature together and what happens over the course of time.

I don't think any one professing the type of psychologist or doctor qualification working in any British institution - and probably not anywhere else either - is mentally competent to give any opinion at all given by all encounters and their inability to reason to date and they clearly do demonstrate that is the case by the fact they torture people that they are a risk to the public and their future prosperity and freedom in future life clearly demonstrates that fact.

Way too many cases of torture, abuse of human rights, malpractice or patient assassination such as those done by doctors such as Dr Shipman and other nurses render any use of health services unusable to anyone of conscious or sane mind notwithstanding the NHS having employed at least one manager linked to genocide and war crimes in other countries as well and a recent stories where doctors cut penis's off in circumcision either, when stating that he had done it hundreds of times previously again demonstrates why people who use their services are most probably insane.

Anyway I look forward to your responses, better questionnaires and a renewed driving licence please!

All the best and sincerely yours,

Gareth Bowen